

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

v.

SIZEWISE RENTALS, L.L.C.

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 3:17-cv-3518

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Plaintiff Sleep Number Corporation (“Sleep Number”), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this complaint against Sizewise Rentals, L.L.C. (“Sizewise” or “Defendant”) for infringement of United States Patent Nos. 5,904,172 (“the ’172 patent”), 9,737,154 (“the ’154 patent”), and 8,769,747 (“the ’747 patent”) (collectively, “the patents-in-suit”).

THE PARTIES

Plaintiff

1. Sleep Number is a Minnesota corporation with a principal place of business at 1001 Third Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55404.

2. Sleep Number is in the business of designing, manufacturing, and selling adjustable air mattress systems, most notably the Sleep Number® bed, and components thereof, including products that practice the patents-in-suit.

Sizewise Rentals, L.L.C.

3. On information and belief, Defendant Sizewise Rentals, L.L.C. (“Sizewise”) is a Nevada Limited Liability Company organized and existing under the laws of Nevada with a principal place of business at 206 Jefferson Street, Ellis, Kansas 67637. Sizewise may be served via its registered agent for service of process in Texas: Registered Agent Solutions, Inc., 1701 Directors Boulevard, Suite 300, Austin, Texas 78744.

4. On information and belief, Sizewise is in the business of distributing and leasing medical air bed systems and components thereof in the United States.

NATURE OF THE ACTION, JURISDICTION, AND VENUE

5. Sleep Number brings this action for patent infringement under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271 *et seq.*

6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a) because the action arises under the patent laws of the United States.

Sizewise

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Sizewise at least in part because Sizewise is present in and/or transacts and conducts business in and with residents of this District and the State of Texas. For example, on information and belief, Sizewise has significant operations in Texas and in this District, including a manufacturing and/or distribution facility in Arlington, Texas.

8. This Court has specific personal jurisdiction over Sizewise pursuant to the Texas Long Arm Statute because, on information and belief, Sizewise, directly or through intermediaries, has conducted and conducts substantial business in this forum, including but not limited to: (i) engaging in at least part of the infringing acts alleged herein; (ii) purposefully and voluntarily placing one or more infringing products into the stream of commerce with the expectation that they will be purchased and/or used by consumers in this forum; and/or (iii) regularly doing or soliciting business or deriving substantial revenue from goods provided to individuals in the State of Texas and in this District. In addition, Sizewise maintains highly interactive and commercial websites, such as <http://sizewise.com/>, which is accessible to residents of Texas and this District, through which Sizewise promotes its products, including products that infringe the patents-in-suit.

9. Venue is proper in this District under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b) for the reasons set forth above. In addition, venue is proper because acts of infringement are occurring in this District

and because Sizewise has a regular and established place of business in this District. For example, on information and belief, Sizewise has a manufacturing and/or distribution plant located at 805 Avenue H, E #503, Arlington, Texas 76011.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Sleep Number

10. Sleep Number provides products and services, including air mattress systems, most notably the Sleep Number® bed, and components thereof, including pumps for air mattresses, valve enclosure assemblies, and air bladders to consumers throughout the United States and the world.

11. Sleep Number's air mattress systems are market successes. Specifically, Sleep Number's air mattress systems have significantly changed the specialty sleep industry by providing an alternative to traditional innerspring and foam mattresses, and have repeatedly improved the technology behind air mattresses, transforming them from noisy and uncomfortable substitutes for innerspring or foam mattresses into luxury items that give consumers precise control over the firmness of their mattress.

12. Sleep Number has invested significantly in the acquisition and maintenance of equipment used to engineer and manufacture the Sleep Number® bed systems. Sleep Number employs thousands of individuals in the United States in relation to the design, engineering, research and development, manufacture, marketing, and sale of the Sleep Number® bed systems. Sleep Number invests substantially in the design, engineering, and research and development of the Sleep Number® bed systems.

The Technology At Issue

13. The technology at issue relates to systems and methods for adjusting the pressure in an air mattress system, and components thereof. Traditional mattresses offer a static level of firmness to consumers, so if the bed is shared, both persons using it must agree on the firmness level. Further, even if the mattress is not shared, some people may wish to adjust the firmness of

their mattress periodically, without buying an entirely new mattress. Traditional innerspring and foam mattresses cannot solve this problem; such mattresses have uniform, constant firmness.

14. An air mattress system, on the other hand, can be designed to allow for individualized comfort sections, which allows those with different firmness preferences to share the same bed without compromising comfort. Further, an air mattress system allows a mattress's firmness to be changed over time, allowing persons whose desired firmness changes to have, for example, a relatively firm mattress one night and a soft one the next.

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

15. On May 18, 1999, the United States Patent & Trademark Office ("PTO") duly and lawfully issued U.S. Patent No. 5,904,172 ("the '172 patent"), entitled "Valve Enclosure Assembly." Sleep Number is the sole owner by assignment of the '172 patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

16. The '172 patent relates generally to an improved valve enclosure assembly. The improved assembly is used with an air mattress system such as the Sleep Number® bed. The '172 patent describes improvements over prior art valve enclosure assemblies that have problems with air leakage due to counter pressure in the air bladder and overheating. The '172 patent also describes embodiments of a valve enclosure assembly that is able to monitor the pressure inside the air mattress during an inflate/deflate cycle, which prior art valve enclosure assemblies were unable to accomplish. The '172 patent also describes a method of achieving a desired firmness in an air mattress system through the use of an improved valve enclosure assembly.

17. On August 22, 2017, the PTO duly and lawfully issued U.S. Patent No. 9,737,154 ("the '154 patent"), entitled "System and Method for Improved Pressure Adjustment." Sleep Number is the sole owner by assignment of the '154 patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 2. The '154 patent relates generally to a system and method for adjusting the pressure in an air bed.

18. On July 8, 2014, the PTO duly and lawfully issued U.S. Patent No. 8,769,747 ("the '747 patent"), entitled "System and Method for Improved Pressure Adjustment." Sleep

Number is the sole owner by assignment of the '747 patent, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 3. The '747 patent relates generally to a system and method for adjusting the pressure in an air bed.

19. Sleep Number is the exclusive owner of all rights, title, and interest in the patents-in-suit.

20. Sleep Number implements the technology claimed in the patents-in-suit in its Sleep Number® bed systems.

DEFENDANT'S KNOWLEDGE OF THE '172 PATENT AND INFRINGEMENT

21. On October 16, 2015, Select Comfort Corporation and Select Comfort SC Corporation (collectively, "Select Comfort")¹ filed a complaint to institute an investigation in the United States International Trade Commission ("ITC"). Select Comfort alleged that Sizewise infringed the '172 patent by unlawfully importing certain accused devices into the United States.

22. The ITC instituted an investigation on November 20, 2015, Inv. No. 337-TA-971, *Certain Air Mattress Systems, Components Thereof, and Methods of Using the Same*, to determine *inter alia*:

[W]hether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain air mattress systems, components thereof, and methods of using the same by reason of infringement of one or more of claims 2, 6, 9, 12, 16, 20 and 22-241 of the '172 patent . . .

80 Fed. Reg. 72,738 (Nov. 20, 2015).

23. An evidentiary hearing was held on August 8-12, 2016.

24. The Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") issued an Initial Determination ("ID") that "Respondents infringe claims 12 and 16 of U.S. Patent No. 5,904,172" and that claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent are not invalid.

¹ On October 27, 2017, Select Comfort Corporation amended its articles of incorporation to change its name to Sleep Number Corporation.

25. Despite finding infringement of Claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent by Sizewise, the ALJ additionally found that the domestic industry requirement had not been satisfied and thus, a violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act had not been established.

26. Select Comfort petitioned the ITC to review that aspect of the ALJ's ID, and the Commission determined to review this portion of the ID, among others. On May 17, 2017, on review, the Commission reversed the ALJ's ID that a violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act had not been established.

27. Sizewise also petitioned the ITC to review the ALJ's determination that claims 12 and 16 of '172 patent were infringed and that the '172 patent is not invalid, but the ITC declined to review these aspects of the ID.

28. During the Commission's review of the ALJ's ID, Sizewise continued to infringe the '172 patent, which caused irreparable harm to Sleep Number.

29. Sizewise appealed the Commission's final determination to the Federal Circuit, which the ITC moved to dismiss as moot after the '172 patent expired. On December 26, 2017, the Federal Circuit held the appeal was moot in light of the '172 patent having expired and remanded to the Commission to address Sizewise's request to vacate the Commission's final determination.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 5,904,172)

30. Sleep Number re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

31. By way of assignment, Sleep Number owns all right, title, and interest in the '172 patent, including the exclusive right to seek damages for past infringement thereof. The '172 patent was valid and enforceable at the time of Sizewise's infringement thereof.

32. Sizewise had actual knowledge of its infringement of the '172 patent at least by prior written notice mailed on October 15, 2015, and/or service of the complaint to institute an investigation in the ITC filed on October 16, 2015.

33. Sizewise directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States air mattress bed systems that include assembly components such as an air bladder(s) and an air controller, including but not limited to the Platinum 5000 and Platinum 6000 and other versions of those air controllers, (“Accused Products”) in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

34. Sizewise directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing the Accused Products to customers in the United States.

35. On information and belief, Sizewise directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent by advertising the Accused Products to customers in the United States. On information and belief, Sizewise directly infringed, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent by performing quality control testing of the Accused Products in the United States.

36. Sizewise also indirectly infringed at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent by active inducement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and by contributory infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

37. On information and belief, Sizewise induced infringement of at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), by knowingly encouraging and instructing others, including customers, resellers, and end users, to make, use, sell, or offer to sell the Accused Products in the United States, or to import the Accused Products into the United States, without license or authority from Sleep Number. On information and belief, Sizewise provided instructions to customers, resellers, and end users on how to use and operate the Accused Products. For example, on information and belief, Sizewise provided instructional materials, such as user guides, owner manuals, and similar online resources, on how to use and operate the Accused Products with specific intent of inducing customers, resellers, and end users to infringe at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent. By providing such instructions, Sizewise

knew, or should have known, that its actions actively induced infringement of at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent.

38. On information and belief, Sizewise contributorily infringed at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by selling and offering for sale, in this judicial District and throughout the United States, the Accused Products, which embodied a material component of the inventions claimed in at least claims 12 and 16 the '172 patent, were known by Sizewise to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent, and were not staple articles of commerce or commodities suitable for a substantial, non-infringing use. On information and belief, the Accused Products were used to practice the claimed inventions of the '172 patent and were especially made and designed to infringe at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent. On information and belief, there were no substantial non-infringing uses. On information and belief, Sizewise's actions contributed to the direct infringement of at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent by others, including customers, resellers, and end users of the Accused Products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

39. As a result of Sizewise's infringement of the '172 patent, Sleep Number was irreparably injured with respect to its business and intellectual property rights, and is entitled to recover damages for such injuries pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 in an amount to be determined at trial.

40. On information and belief, Sizewise's infringement of the '172 patent was willful and deliberate. For example, despite having knowledge of the '172 patent, and knowledge that it was directly and/or indirectly infringing one or more claims of the '172 patent, Sizewise nevertheless continued its infringing conduct in an egregious manner. This includes, but is not limited to: (i) Sizewise's collective willful blindness, including its steadfast refusal to investigate whether the Accused Products infringed at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent; and (ii) Sizewise's active participation as Respondent in the prior ITC Investigation in which it failed to prove that at least claims 12 and 16 of the '172 patent were invalid and not infringed. For at

least these reasons, Sizewise's infringing activities detailed above were willful, wanton, and deliberate in disregard of Sleep Number's rights. Accordingly, Sleep Number is entitled to enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to an award of attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 9,737,154)

41. Sleep Number re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 40 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

42. By way of assignment, Sleep Number owns all right, title, and interest in the '154 patent, including the exclusive right to seek damages for past, current, and future infringement thereof. The '154 patent is valid and enforceable.

43. Sizewise has had actual notice of its infringement of the '154 patent no later than the time of service of this Complaint.

44. Sizewise has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '154 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

45. Sizewise has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '154 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing the Accused Products to customers in the United States.

46. On information and belief, Sizewise has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '154 patent by advertising the Accused Products to customers in the United States. On information and belief, Sizewise has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '154 patent by performing quality control testing of the Accused Products in the United States.

47. Sizewise has also indirectly infringed and continues to indirectly infringe at least claim 1 of the '154 patent by active inducement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and by contributory infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

48. On information and belief, Sizewise has been, and currently is, inducing infringement of at least claim 1 of the '154 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), by knowingly encouraging and instructing others, including customers, resellers, and end users, to make, use, sell, or offer to sell the Accused Products in the United States, or to import the Accused Products into the United States, without license or authority from Sleep Number. On information and belief, Sizewise provides instructions to customers, resellers, and end users on how to use and operate the Accused Products. For example, on information and belief, Sizewise provides instructional materials, such as user guides, owner manuals, and similar online resources, on how to use and operate the Accused Products with specific intent of inducing customers, resellers, and end users to infringe at least claim 1 of the '154 patent. By providing such instructions, Sizewise knows (and has known), or should know (and should have known), that its actions have, and continue to, actively induce infringement of at least claim 1 of the '154 patent.

49. On information and belief, Sizewise has been, and currently is, contributorily infringing at least claim 1 of the '154 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by selling and offering for sale, in this judicial District and throughout the United States, the Accused Products, which embody a material component of the inventions claimed in at least claim 1 of the '154 patent, are known by Sizewise to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of at least claim 1 of the '154 patent, and are not staple articles of commerce or commodities suitable for a substantial, non-infringing use. On information and belief, the Accused Products are used to practice the claimed inventions of the '154 patent and are especially made and designed to infringe at least claim 1 of the '154 patent. On information and belief, there are no substantial non-infringing uses. On information and belief, Sizewise's actions contribute to the direct infringement of at least claim 1 of the '154 patent by others,

including customers, resellers, and end users of the Accused Products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

50. Unless enjoined by this Court, Sizewise will continue to infringe at least claim 1 of the '154 patent, and Sleep Number will continue to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law. Accordingly, Sleep Number is entitled to preliminary and/or permanent relief against such infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.

51. As a result of Sizewise's infringement of the '154 patent, Sleep Number has been and continues to be irreparably injured with respect to its business and intellectual property rights, and is entitled to recover damages for such injuries pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 in an amount to be determined at trial.

52. On information and belief, Sizewise's infringement of the '154 patent is willful and deliberate. For example, despite having knowledge of the '154 patent, and knowledge that it is directly and/or indirectly infringing at least claim 1 of the '154 patent, Sizewise has nevertheless continued its infringing conduct in an egregious manner. For at least these reasons, Sizewise's infringing activities detailed above have been, and continue to be, willful, wanton, and deliberate in disregard of Sleep Number's rights. Accordingly, Sleep Number is entitled to enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to an award of attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of U.S. Patent No. 8,769,747)

53. Sleep Number re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 52 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

54. By way of assignment, Sleep Number owns all right, title, and interest in the '747 patent, including the exclusive right to seek damages for past, current, and future infringement thereof. The '747 patent is valid and enforceable.

55. Sizewise has had actual notice of its infringement of the '747 patent no later than the time of service of this Complaint.

56. Sizewise has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '747 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States the Accused Products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a).

57. Sizewise has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '747 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing the Accused Products to customers in the United States.

58. On information and belief, Sizewise has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '747 patent by advertising the Accused Products to customers in the United States. On information and belief, Sizewise has directly infringed and continues to directly infringe, literally or under the doctrine of equivalents, at least claim 1 of the '747 patent by performing quality control testing of the Accused Products in the United States.

59. Sizewise has also indirectly infringed and continues to indirectly infringe at least claim 1 of the '747 patent by active inducement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b) and by contributory infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

60. On information and belief, Sizewise has been, and currently is, inducing infringement of at least claim 1 of the '747 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), by knowingly encouraging and instructing others, including customers, resellers, and end users, to make, use, sell, or offer to sell the Accused Products in the United States, or to import the Accused Products into the United States, without license or authority from Sleep Number. On information and belief, Sizewise provides instructions to customers, resellers, and end users on how to use and operate the Accused Products. For example, on information and belief, Sizewise provides instructional materials, such as user guides, owner manuals, and similar online resources, on how to use and operate the Accused Products with specific intent of inducing customers, resellers, and end users to infringe at least claim 1 of the '747 patent. By providing such instructions, Sizewise knows (and has known), or should know (and should have known),

that its actions have, and continue to, actively induce infringement of at least claim 1 of the '747 patent.

61. On information and belief, Sizewise has been, and currently is, contributorily infringing at least claim 1 of the '747 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c) by selling and offering for sale, in this judicial District and throughout the United States, the Accused Products, which embody a material component of the inventions claimed in at least claim 1 of the '747 patent, are known by Sizewise to be especially made or especially adapted for use in infringement of at least claim 1 of the '747 patent, and are not staple articles of commerce or commodities suitable for a substantial, non-infringing use. On information and belief, the Accused Products are used to practice the claimed inventions of the '747 patent and are especially made and designed to infringe at least claim 1 of the '747 patent. On information and belief, there are no substantial non-infringing uses. On information and belief, Sizewise's actions contribute to the direct infringement of at least claim 1 of the '747 patent by others, including customers, resellers, and end users of the Accused Products in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(c).

62. Unless enjoined by this Court, Sizewise will continue to infringe at least claim 1 of the '747 patent, and Sleep Number will continue to suffer irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law. Accordingly, Sleep Number is entitled to preliminary and/or permanent relief against such infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283.

63. As a result of Sizewise's infringement of the '747 patent, Sleep Number has been and continues to be irreparably injured with respect to its business and intellectual property rights, and is entitled to recover damages for such injuries pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 in an amount to be determined at trial.

64. On information and belief, Sizewise's infringement of the '747 patent is willful and deliberate. For example, despite having knowledge of the '747 patent, and knowledge that it is directly and/or indirectly infringing at least claim 1 of the '747 patent, Sizewise has nevertheless continued its infringing conduct in an egregious manner. For at least these reasons,

Sizewise's infringing activities detailed above have been, and continue to be, willful, wanton, and deliberate in disregard of Sleep Number's rights. Accordingly, Sleep Number is entitled to enhanced damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284 and to an award of attorney's fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Sleep Number prays for judgment against Defendant as follows:

- A. A judgment declaring that Defendant has infringed one or more claims of each of the patents-in-suit in this litigation pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 271(a), 271(b), and/or 271(c);
- B. A judgment pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283, preliminary and permanently enjoining Defendant, its officers, directors, attorneys, agents, servants, employees, parties in privity with, and all persons in active concert or participation with any of the foregoing, from continued acts of direct or indirect infringement of any claim of the '154 and '747 patents;
- C. A judgment requiring Defendant to make an accounting of damages resulting from Defendant's infringement of the patents-in-suit;
- D. A judgment awarding Sleep Number damages resulting from Defendant's infringement in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- E. A judgment that Defendant's infringement of the patents-in-suit is willful from the time that Defendant became aware of the infringing nature of the Accused Products and that the Court award treble damages for the period of such willful infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;
- F. A judgment requiring Defendant to pay Sleep Number costs, expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of each of the patents-in-suit; and
- G. Such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b), Sleep Number hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by a jury.

Dated: December 29, 2017

Respectfully submitted,

Steven A. Moore (*pro hac vice* to be filed)
Nicole S. Cunningham (*pro hac vice* to be filed)
PILLSBURY WINTHROP
SHAW PITTMAN LLP
501 West Broadway, Suite 1100
San Diego, CA 92101-3575
Tel: (619) 234-5000
Fax: (619) 236-1995
steve.moore@pillsburylaw.com
nicole.cunningham@pillsburylaw.com

Kecia J. Reynolds (*pro hac vice* to be filed)
PILLSBURY WINTHROP
SHAW PITTMAN LLP
1200 Seventeenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
Tel: (202) 663-8000
Fax: (202) 663-8007
kecia.reynolds@pillsburylaw.com

Andrew S. Hansen (*pro hac vice* to be filed)
Archana Nath (*pro hac vice* to be filed)
Elizabeth A. Patton (*pro hac vice* to be filed)
FOX ROTHSCHILD LLP
Campbell Mithun Tower, Suite 2000
222 South Ninth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
Tel: (612) 607-7000
Fax: (612) 607-7100
ahansen@foxrothschild.com
anath@foxrothschild.com
epatton@foxrothschild.com

/s/ Amy E. LaValle

Amy E. LaValle
State Bar No. 24040529
WICK PHILLIPS GOULD & MARTIN, LLP
3131 McKinney Avenue, Suite 100
Dallas, Texas 75204
Tel: (214) 692-6200
Fax: (214) 692-6255
amy.lavalle@wickphillips.com

***Counsel for Plaintiff
Sleep Number Corporation***